





11.03.2011



















The events have been rated at Level 7 on the International Nuclear Event Scale INES

(major release of radioactive material with widespread health and environmental effects requiring implementation of planned and extended countermeasures)



We feel deep sympathy with the Japanese People

We

the international nuclear community, the engineers, authorities, owners, and media are concerned and take the responsibility

We

have to learn the lessons from Fukushima











Course of Events

Heat generation: (due to decay of fission products)

After SCRAM ~6% After 1 Day ~1%

Emergency Core Cooling Systems

- 1) Residual Heat Removal System
- 2) Low-Pressure Core Spray (for LOCA)
- High-Pressure Core Injection (for LOCA)
- 4) Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (Unit 2,3 [BWR4])
- 5) Isolation Condenser (Unit 1 [BWR3])
- 6) Borating System





Course of Events

Fukushima I Unit 1

(1) Isolation Condenser

- Steam enters heat exchanger
- Condensate drains back to reactor pressure vessel
- Secondary steam released from plant

Need pumps for water supply

Fukushima I Unit 2 and 3

(2) Reactor Core Isolation Pump

- Steam from reactor drives turbine
- Turbine drives a pump, pumping water from the wetwell in the reactor
- Steam gets condensed in wet-well

Necessary:

- Battery power
- Wet-well temperature < 100°C

No heat sink from the buildings





Course of Events

Loss of Coolant Accident LOCA

- 11.3. 16:36 in Unit 1
 - Isolation condenser stops
- 13.3. 5:30 in Unit 3
 - Reactor Isolation pump stops
- 14.3. 13:25 in Unit 2
 - Reactor Isolation pump stops
- Reactors of Units 1-3 are cut off from any kind of heat removal





Course of Events

~50% of the core exposed

 Cladding temperatures rise, but still no significant core damage

~2/3 of the core exposed

- Cladding temperature exceeds ~900°C
- Balooning / Breaking of the cladding
- Release of fission products from the fuel rod gaps





Course of Events

~3/4 of the core exposed

- Cladding exceeds ~1200°C
- Zirconium water reaction starts under steam atmosphere
 Zro + 200

 $Zr + 2H_20 \rightarrow ZrO_2 + 2H_2$

- Exothermal reaction heats the core additionally
- Generation of hydrogen
 - Unit 1: 300-600kg
 - Unit 2/3: 300-1000kg
- Hydrogen gets pushed via the wet-well, the wet-well vacuum breakers into the dry-well





Course of Events

▶ at ~1800°C

- [Unit 1,2,3]
- Melting of the cladding
- Melting of the steel structure
- ▶ at ~2500°C [Unit 1,2]
 - Breaking of the fuel rods
 - debris bed inside the core
- ▶ at ~2700°C

[Unit 1]

- Melting of Uranium-Zirconium eutectics
- Supply of seawater to the reactor pressure vessel stops the core melt in all 3 Units
 - Unit 1: 12.3. 20:20 (27h w/o water)
 - Unit 2: 14.3. 20:33 (7h w/o water)
 - Unit 3: 13.3. 9:38 (7h w/o water)





Venting

Course of Events

Containment (MARK I)

- Last barrier between fission products and environment
- Wall thickness ~30 mm
- Design pressure 4-5 bar

Pressure reached up to 8 bars

- Normal inert gas filling (Nitrogen)
- Hydrogen from core oxidation
- Boiling in the condensation chamber
- Possible leakages at containment head seal

Depressurization of the containment

- Unit 1: 12.3. 4:00
- Unit 2: 13.3 00:00
- Unit 3: 13.3. 8:41



Course of Events

Unit 1 and 3

Hydrogen explosion inside the reactor service floor

- Destruction of the steel-frame construction
- Reinforced concrete reactor building seems undamaged







Mark of Respect

We pay full respect for the accident management to the technicians, engineers, and management, for their reasonable, professional, and eventually successful commitment under the concurrence of extremely severe circumstances



Accident Mangement

Sea water stopped accident progression

- No further core degradation
- RPV temperatures decline
- No further releases from fuel

Further cooling of the reactors via

- Unit 1: Isolation Condenser
- Unit 2 & 3: Containment Venting





Accident Mangement

Short-term recovery steps

- Trap fission products on ground with dust binders (Epoxy)
- Install closed cooling cycles
- Decrease the water inventory in the Reactor buildings
- Build storm-prove shelters around the reactors (especially a roof)

Long-term recovery steps

- Build a water cleansing facility to decontaminate the stored water
- Remove Salt from Reactors
- Empty the spend fuel pools
- Wait 10 Years that radioactivity declines [see TMI2]
- Remove Core inventory





Accident Mangement





Happy Moments:

Recovery of Main Control Room Light Unit 3: March 22 Unit 2: March 26 Unit 1: March 24 Unit 4: March 29





Accidental Damage

Earthquake & TSUNAMI

a natural disaster of historic magnitude

Death Count: 25,000 People

Economic Loss: \$ 250 billion

FUKUSHIMA

a man-made disaster of cat. 7 on INES

Radiation Fatality: No Exposure > 250 mSv: 6 Release ~ 10% Chernobyl

Decommissioning: \$ 2.53 billion (TEPCO Allocation)







Engineering Lessons



Broad Claim on System Safety Engineering Resilient Structures that Mitigate & Recover from Catastrophic Failures



Engineering Lessons







Engineering Lessons

PROBABILISTIC RISK EVALUATION for COMPLEX SYSTEMS (SYSTEM SAFETY ANALYSIS)

MAGNITUDE (SEVERITY) of CONSEQUENCES

Χ

LIKELIHOOD (PROBABILITY) of OCCURENCE





Engineering Lessons

ROBUST BASIC SAFETY DESIGN

INHERENT SAFETY DESIGN of CONTROL SYSTEMS Of SAFETY SYSTEMS

"An 'inherently safer' approach tries to:

- Avoid or eliminate hazards
- Reduce their magnitude and severity and likelihood of occurrence by careful attention to the fundamental design and layout"



Engineering Lessons

WEAKNESS:

Probabilistic Risk Assessment does not account for UNEXPECTED FAILURE MODES

> Difficult Modeling of "COMMON-CAUSE" FAILURES

SYSTEM SAFETY RESEARCH (MIT): "Any complex system, no matter how well it is designed and engineered, cannot be deemed failure-proof"



Engineering Lessons

CATASTROPHIC NUCLEAR ACCIDENTS ARE INEVITABLE

Safety Indicator: Frequency f of Core Melt Accidents

NRC (**Mandated**): f < 1 in 10,000 years Modern design: f < 1 in 100,000 years

"First and most elementally, nuclear accidents happen...we can never have confidence that we will succeed absolutely." (John Ritch, Director General, WNA) NON-COMPLIANCE WITH SYSTEM SAFETY DESIGN PRINCIPLES

After lessons we know better:

06-11: IAEA Ministerial Conference

- External Hazards
- Accident Management
- Emergency Preparedness

Report of Japanese Government

IAEA Ministerial Conference on Nuclear Safety, Vienna, 21 June 2011





Engineering Lessons

NO OVERCONFIDENCE - SEVERE ACCIDENTS MAY HAPPEN

GLOBAL COOPERATION IN SAFETY ENGINEERING

APPROPRIATE EVALUATION AND PROTECTION AGAINST EXTERNAL HAZARDS

CONTROLLED SYSTEM SAFETY DESIGN RULES (INSAG) -DEFENCE IN DEPTH & INHERENT/PASSIVE SAFETY-ACCORDING TO THE LATEST STATE-OF-THE-ART



SAFETY CULTURE

DEFENSE IN DEPTH with PROBABILISTIC RISK ANALYSIS INHERENT SAFETY DESIGN with HIGHEST BASIC REQUIREMENTS

Report of Japanese Government to the IAEA Ministerial Conference

External Hazard / Common	NPS and Component Design
Mode Failure	TSUNAMI Height: 14 – 15 m
Flooding	Seawater Pump
Black-Out SAFETY CUL	Switchboard
Loss of Heat Sink	Diesel Generators
H_2 in the Service Floor	Battery Life
Loss of Spent Fuel Pool Cooling	MARK I Containment



Safety Culture

Report of Japanese Government to the IAEA Ministerial Conference Japan will Establish a Safety Culture ...

Pursuing Defense-in-Depth by Constantly Learning Professional Knowledge on Safety

THOROUGHLY INSTIL A SAFETY CULTURE

A Safety Culture that Governs the Attitude and Behavior in Relation to Safety of all Organizations and Individuals Concerned must be Integrated in the Management System (IAEA: Fundamental Safety Principles, SGF-1, 3.13)



Safety Culture

POST FUKUSHIMA WORLD

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON NUCLEAR SAFETY:

NATIONAL OPERATIONAL TRANSPARENCY - INDEPENDENT, EFFECTIVE NUCLEAR REGULATION RE-VISITATION of THREATS of EXTERNAL HAZARDS BINDING INTERNATIONAL SAFETY STANDARDS Yukiya Amano, IAEA (June 21): - Safety Checks on a regular basis by IAEA Inspectors -





Public Opinion

Respect for the negative Stance on Nuclear Power We all feel the task of mastering the future









Public Opinion



DEVIL'S BARGAIN



THE BEST LESSON

ANYBOLY OF MORE THAN 9 BILLION PEOPLE WILL GET A FAIR CHANCE FOR A SAFE AND GOOD LIFE, EVERYWHERE IN THE WORLD



THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION **ENJOY THE CONFERENCE AND THE CHARM OF** VALENCIA





The Best Lesson: Let Us Work Together for One World

ANYBODY OF MORE THAN 9 BILLION PEOPLE WILL GET A FAIR CHANCE FOR A SAFE AND **GOOD LIFE, EVERYWHERE IN THE WORLD**