Yulia Burkatovskaya

Outline

- Direct-address tables
- Hash tables
- Hash functions
- Open addressing

Direct-address tables

- Direct addressing is a simple technique that works well when the universe U of keys is reasonably small.
- No two elements have the same key.
- We use an array, or *direct-address table*, T[0,...,m-1]. Each position, or slot, corresponds to a key.



Direct-address tables

	Find	Insert	Delete
Unsorted array	Ν	1	Ν
Sorted array	log N	Ν	Ν
Linked list	Ν	1	1
File	Ν	Ν	Ν
Direct-address table	1	1	1

D

- If universe U is **large** then direct-address tables take a huge memory.
- > The set of actually stored keys, say K, could be rather **small**.
- ▶ Reduce the storage requirement to m=O(|K|), T[m].
- Hash function: h: $K \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m-1\}$



- Collision: two keys hash to the same slot.
- Good hash function random, to minimize the number of collisions.
- Solutions?

D



D

Collision resolution by chaining

• Elements hashing the same slot are placed in a linked list.



- **T:** m slots, n elements
- Load factor: α=n/m (the average number of elements stored at one slot)
- Worst case: all elements are in the same slot (terrible)
- Average case: depends on the hash function
- Simple uniform hashing: any given element is equally likely to hash into any of the m slots, independently of where any other element has hashed to.
- n_j the number of elements in slot j
- $N=n_1+\ldots+n_m$

Theorem

 In a hash table in which collisions are resolved by chaining, an unsuccessful search takes average-case time O(1+α), under the assumption of simple uniform hashing

Theorem

- In a hash table in which collisions are resolved by chaining, an unsuccessful search takes average-case time O(1+α), under the assumption of simple uniform hashing.
- ▶ A is an average length of a list, 1 to compute the hash function.

What makes a good hash function?

- A good hash function satisfies (approximately) the assumption of simple uniform hashing.
- Unfortunately, we typically have no way to check this condition, since we rarely know the probability distribution from which the keys are drawn. Moreover, the keys might not be drawn independently.
- **Example**. U integers, $h(x) = x \mod m$.
- Challenge: a good hash function for identifiers?

The division method

- $h(x) = x \mod m$.
- How to choose **m**?
- Bad choice: m=2^p (h(x)= lowest p bits, it's better when a hash function depends on all bits)
- **Good choice** (usually) a prime not to close to 2^p
 - **Example**: N=2000, we don't mind α =3; so, m=701.

The multiplication method

- First, we multiply the key k by a constant A in the range 0<A<1 and extract the fractional part of kA.
- Then, we multiply this value by m and take the floor of the result.
- The value of m is not critical (2^p)
- $A = (\sqrt{5} 1)/2$ (Donald Knuth)
- **Example**. k=103, m=23
 - ► A=0.037 kA=3.811, kA mod 1 = 0.811
 - m(kA mod 1) = 18.653, floor(18.653)=18

The multiplication method



Universal hashing

- **The worst case**: all n keys share the same slot. It can be for any fixed hash function.
- Idea: to choose the hash function randomly and independent on the keys, which are going to be stored.
- Example. $h_{ab}(k) = ((ak + b) \mod p) \mod m$
- Number p is prime and large enough, p>m
- If $k \neq l$ then $(ak + b) \mod p \neq (al + b) \mod p$
- ▶ $PR\{((ak + b) \mod p = (al + b) \mod p) \mod m\} \le \frac{1}{m}$

Universal hashing

Suppose that a hash function h is chosen randomly from a universal collection of hash functions and has been used to hash n keys into a table T of size m, using chaining to resolve collisions. If key k is not in the table, then the expected length $E[n_{h(k)}]$ of the list that key k hashes to is at most the load factor $\alpha = n/m$. If key k is in the table, then the expected length $E[n_{h(k)}]$ of the list containing key k is at most $1 + \alpha$.

- Each table entry contains either an element of the dynamic set or NIL, no linked lists.
- When searching for an element, we systematically examine table slots until either we find the desired element or we have ascertained that the element is not in the table.
- To perform insertion using open addressing, we successively examine, or **probe**, the hash table until we find an empty slot in which to put the key.
- In open addressing, the hash table can "fill up" so that no further insertions can be made; one consequence is that the load factor α can never exceed 1.

- To determine which slots to probe, we extend the hash function to include the probe number (starting from 0) as a second input
- $\blacktriangleright h: U \times \{0, \dots, m-1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, m-1\}$
- ► The probe sequence is a permutation of {0, ..., m 1} (no repetitions!)
- h(k,0), ..., h(k,m-1)

HASH-INSERT(T, k) $1 \quad i = 0$ 2 repeat 3 j = h(k, i)4 if T[j] == NILT[j] = k5 6 **return** *j* 7 **else** i = i + 18 **until** i == m9 error "hash table overflow"

HASH-SEARCH(T, k)1 i = 02 repeat 3 j = h(k, i)4 if T[j] == k5 return j6 i = i + 17 until T[j] == NIL or i == m8 return NIL

Linear probing

- $h(k,i) = (h'(k) + i) \mod m$
- **Example**: m=7. 5, 6, 7, 0,...,4
- Good: easy to implement
- **Bad**: primary clustering (long sequences of occupied slots)

Quadratic probing

- $h(k,i) = (h'(k) + c_1i + c_2i^2) \mod m$
- $(h'(k) + c_1 + c_2) \mod m, (h'(k) + 2c_1 + 4c_2) \mod m, \dots$
- **Good**: better then linear
- **Bad**: secondary clustering (two elements with the same initial slot have the same probe sequence), limitations for constants.

Double hashing

- ▶ $h(k,i) = (h_1(k) + ih_2(k))mod m$
- **Example**: $h_1(k) = k \mod m$, $h_2(k) = 1 + k \mod m'$
- Good: the probe sequences depends in two ways on k; so, two elements with the same initial slot can have different probe sequences
- $h_2(k)$ should be relatively prime to m

Double hashing

D

- Example: insert 14
 - $h_1(k) = k \mod 13$,
 - ▶ $h_2(k) = 1 + k \mod 11$



Analysis of open addressing

Given an open-address hash table with load factor $\alpha = n/m < 1$, the expected number of probes in an unsuccessful search is at most $1/(1-\alpha)$, assuming uniform hashing.

Given an open-address hash table with load factor $\alpha < 1$, the expected number of probes in a successful search is at most

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\ln\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\,,$$

assuming uniform hashing and assuming that each key in the table is equally likely to be searched for.